

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/694,728 10/23/2000 Donovan Wallace 1400.4100353 9880 25697 7590 06/09/2004 **EXAMINER** ROSS D. SNYDER & ASSOCIATES, INC. TRAN, QUOC DUC 115 WILD BASIN RD. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 107** AUSTIN, TX 78746 2643 DATE MAILED: 06/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/694,728		WALLACE ET AL.	
		xaminer		Art Unit	
		Quoc D Tran		2643	
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	munication appea	rs on the cover sheet	with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIC THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the prov after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If the period for reply specified above, the maxim - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	IUNICATION. isions of 37 CFR 1.136(a communication. irty (30) days, a reply wi um statutory period will a reply will, by statute, ca nths after the mailing da	a). In no event, however, may thin the statutory minimum of t apply and will expire SIX (6) M use the application to become	a reply be tim thirty (30) day ONTHS from ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. 8 133)	
Status				•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Octo	ber 2000.			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in t 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected t 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to re	is/are withdrawn				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to b 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 23 Octob Applicant may not request that any Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected	er 2000 is/are: a objection to the dra ding the correction	wing(s) be held in abey is required if the drawin	rance. See ng(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a classification. a) All b) Some * c) None of the price of the price of the price of the certified copies of the price of the certified copies of the price of the certified copies of the certified copies of the price of the certified copies of the certified	of: writy documents hority documents hority wies of the priority ational Bureau (F	ave been received. ave been received in documents have bee PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144 Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office.	ew (PTO-948) 9 or PTO/SB/08)		o(s)/Mail Da f Informal Pa		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim recites the specific standard dimensions of the chassis that do not meet with the common claim language. Since the specification already disclosed the specific version and date of the standard dimensions, it is not necessary to recite it in the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-7, 12-14, 17-20 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chong (6,434,221).

Art Unit: 2643

Consider claim 1, Chong teaches a multi-services access platform (DSLANTM), comprising: a chassis that includes: a predetermined number of card slots, wherein each of the card slots includes input/output ports (col. 2 lines 42-48); and a backplane that includes a metallic test access bus, wherein the metallic test access bus is operable to selectively couple to an input/output port of at least one of the card slots to provide at least one metallic test path (col. 3 lines 26-43).

Consider claim 2, Chong teaches wherein a first portion of the metallic test access bus is operable to selectively couple to an input/output port of a first card slot to provide a first metallic test path and a second portion of the metallic test access bus is operable to couple to an input/output port of a second card slot to provide a second metallic test path (col. 3 lines 26-43).

Consider claim 3, Chong teaches wherein selective coupling is accomplished using relays (col. 3 lines 26-43).

Consider claim 4, Chong teaches wherein the chassis further comprises a connector operably coupled to the backplane, wherein the connector provides access to the metallic test access bus from external to the chassis (col. 7 lines 17-20).

Consider claim 5, Chong teaches the system further comprises a test controller operably coupled to the connector, wherein the test controller is operable to provide stimulus over the at least one metallic test path (col. 7 lines 19-21; col. 3 lines 58-67).

Consider claim 6, Chong teaches wherein the metallic test access bus includes a control portion and a stimulus portion, wherein the control portion is operable to select to which of the card slots the metallic test access bus is coupled, wherein the stimulus portion is operable to

Art Unit: 2643

convey stimulus to input/output ports to which the metallic test access bus is coupled (col. 3 lines 26-43; lines 58-67).

Consider claim 7, Chong teaches wherein the control portion of the metallic test access bus includes a serial data communication link (col. 7 lines 13-40).

Consider claim 12, Chong teaches the system further comprises a first line card operably coupled to a first card slot of the predetermined number of card slots (Fig. 3), wherein the metallic test access bus is operable to selectively couple to at least one of: an input/output port of the first card slot and an input/output port of the first line card (col. 3 lines 26-43).

Consider claim 13, Chong teaches the system further comprises a test controller within the chassis and operably coupled to the metallic test access bus (col. 7 lines 17-25).

Consider claim 14, Chong teaches wherein the predetermined number of card slots is at least 12 card slots (Fig. 3).

Consider claim 17, Chong did not suggest wherein each of the card slots includes at least 64 input/output ports. However, it is inherent.

Consider claim 18, Chong teaches a method for performing metallic test access testing, comprising: issuing control signals on a metallic test access bus included in a backplane of a chassis that includes a predetermined number of card slots (col. 2 lines 42-48), wherein each of the predetermined number of card slots has input/output ports, wherein the control signals operate to selectively couple the metallic test access bus to an input/output port of a first card slot to provide a first metallic test path (col. 3 lines 26-43); applying stimulus on the first metallic test path to produce a first response; and measuring the first response (col. 3 lines 58-67).

Art Unit: 2643

Consider claim 19, Chong teaches wherein issuing the control signals configures relays such that the metallic test access bus is selectively coupled to the input/output port of the first card slot (col. 3 lines 26-43).

Consider claim 20, Chong teaches wherein the control signals operate to selectively couple the metallic test access bus to the input/output port of the first card slot to provide the first metallic test path and further operate to selectively couple the metallic test access bus to an input/output port of a second card slot to provide a second metallic test path (col. 3 lines 26-43), wherein applying stimulus includes applying first stimulus on the first metallic test path to produce the first response and applying second stimulus on the second metallic test path to produce a second response, wherein measuring includes measuring the first and second responses (col. 3 lines 58-67; col. 10 lines 10-28).

Consider claim 23, Chong teaches wherein the control signals are issued over a control portion of the metallic test access bus and the stimulus is applied over a stimulus portion of the metallic test access bus (col. 7 lines 19-21; col. 3 lines 58-67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 8-11, 15-16 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chong (6,434,221).

Art Unit: 2643

Consider claim 8, Chong did not clearly suggest wherein the stimulus portion of the metallic test access bus includes at least six conductor pairs. However, it is obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art since the numbers of conductor pairs are based on the standard configuration of the particular system.

Consider claim 9, Chong did not clearly suggest wherein the stimulus portion of the metallic test access bus includes at least eight conductor pairs. However, it is obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art since the numbers of conductor pairs are based on the standard configuration of the particular system.

Consider claim 10, Chong did not clearly suggest wherein the stimulus conveyed includes at least one of a Safety Extra Low Voltage (SELV) rated stimulus and a Telecom Network Voltage (TNV) rated stimulus. However, the examiner takes an official notice that it is well known in the art. Therefore, it would is obvious to one of the ordinary to recognize such test signals are need in order to test both the DSL and conventional circuit.

Consider claim 11, As suggested above, Chong teaches wherein in a first configuration the metallic test access bus is operable to couple to an input/output port of a first card slot and an input/output port of a second card slot, wherein the metallic test access bus is operable to convey the SELV rated stimulus to the input/output port of the first card slot and to convey the TNV rated stimulus to the input/output port of the second card slot (col. 3 lines 44-67).

Consider claim 15, Chong did not clearly suggest wherein dimensions of the chassis are each within three inches of standard dimensions. However, the examiner takes an official notice that it is well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill

Art Unit: 2643

in the art at the time the invention was made to recognize that a particular chassis must be within certain dimension in order to comply with the standard requirement.

Consider claim 16, Chong did not suggest wherein dimensions of the chassis are not greater than approximately 18 inches wide, 22 inches tall, and 12 inches deep. However, However, the examiner takes an official notice that it is well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to recognize that a particular chassis must be within certain dimension in order to comply with the standard requirement.

Consider claim 21, Chong did not suggest wherein the first stimulus is a Safety Extra

Low Voltage (SELV) rated stimulus and the second stimulus is a Telecom Network Voltage

(TNV) rated stimulus. However, the examiner takes an official notice that it is well known in the

art. Therefore, it would is obvious to one of the ordinary to recognize such test signals are need

in order to test both the DSL and conventional circuit.

Consider claim 22, Chong did not suggest wherein the stimulus is one of a Safety Extra Low Voltage (SELV) stimulus and a Telecom Network Voltage (TNV) stimulus. However, the examiner takes an official notice that it is well known in the art. Therefore, it would is obvious to one of the ordinary to recognize such test signals are need in order to test both the DSL and conventional circuit.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Page 7

Art Unit: 2643

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile responses should be faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive

Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Quoc Tran** whose telephone number is **(703)** 306-5643. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Curtis Kuntz**, can be reached on (703) 305-4708.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Technology Center 2600** whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

QUOCTRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

AU 2643

June 2, 2004